

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/784,478	02/23/2004	Paul Haefner	GUID.606PA			
51294	7590 09/14/2005	EXAMINER				
CRAWFORD MAUNU PLLC			KAHELIN, MICHAEL WILLIAM			
1270 NORTI SUITE 390	HLAND DRIVE	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ST. PAUL,	MN 55120		3762	3762		

DATE MAILED: 09/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

TOUR

			Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		10/784,478		HAEFNER, PAUL					
Office Action Summary			Examiner		Art Unit				
			Michael Kahelin		3762				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on 23 Fe	bruary 2004.						
· <u> </u>	•		action is non-final	ı.		•			
,—	Since this application is in condition f				secution as to the	e merits is			
•	closed in accordance with the practic								
	on of Claims			·					
		1*4*							
-	4) Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•							
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> are subject to restrictio	n and/or e	election requireme	nt.					
Application	on Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
•	•	or foreign	priority under 35 I	LSC 8 119(a)	-(d) or (f)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
, = ,									
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.									
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:									

Art Unit: 3762

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-19 and 30-36, drawn to a signal separation process and apparatus, classified in class 600, subclass 513.
  - II. Claims 20-29, drawn to a signal identification apparatus using a detection window, classified in class 600, subclass 483.
  - III. Claims 37-48, drawn to a signal detection process, classified in class 600, subclass 509.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I, claims 1-19, and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the method could be performed without using a detection window. Invention I, claims 30-36, and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed

Art Unit: 3762

does not require the signal to be manipulated during a specific time "window". The subcombination has separate utility such as manipulating the signal without separating out the cardiac signal.

- 3. Invention III and I, claims 1-19 are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because invention III does not require the signal to be from a non-intrathoracic location. The subcombination has separate utility such as examining a cardiac signal continuously, instead of only during a specific time window. Inventions III and I, claims 1-19, are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus could be used for examining a cardiac signal continuously, instead of only during a specific time window.
- 4. Inventions II and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP §

Art Unit: 3762

806.05(e)). In this case, the process could comprise detecting an electrical signal in a thoracic location.

- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. A telephone call was made to Mark Hollingsworth on 8/15/2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Kahelin whose telephone number is (571)272-8688. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (571)272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**MWK** 

Rinaldi I. Rada Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700